

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Exact solutions of non-integrable lattice equations

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 10401

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/48/306)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.101 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 09:45

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001) 10401-10410

PII: S0305-4470(01)23755-X

Exact solutions of non-integrable lattice equations

A K Common¹ and M Musette^{2,3}

 ¹ Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Cornwallis Building, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, CT2 7NF, UK
 ² Dienst Theoretische Natuurkunde, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

E-mail: mmusette@vub.ac.be

Received 9 April 2001, in final form 5 July 2001 Published 23 November 2001 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/34/10401

Abstract

The method of generalized conditional symmetry used by Fokas and Liu for deriving nonintegrable evolution equations which possess exact analytic solutions is here extended to the construction of differential-difference equations supporting two-kink and two-soliton solutions. In particular, we build the discrete analogue of a Burgers type equation with reaction term, investigated in the continuous case by Satsuma, and describing the coalescence of two travelling waves. We also derive the discrete form of a nondispersive evolution equation possessing like the Korteweg–de Vries equation a two-soliton solution.

PACS numbers: 02.30.-f, 02.90.+p, 05.50.+q

1. Introduction

The concept of a generalized conditional symmetry (GCS) was introduced and used by Fokas and Liu [1, 2] to obtain nonintegrable equations supporting multi-soliton and multi-kink solutions and particular examples are related to Burgers and Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equations. For instance, the equation

$$u_t = \gamma u_{xx} + (1 - 3\gamma)uu_x + (1 - \gamma)(-u^3 + \alpha u + \beta)$$
(1.1)

which is nonintegrable for $\gamma \neq 1$ shares with the Burgers equation

$$u_t = K_1(u) \equiv u_{xx} - 2uu_x \tag{1.2}$$

the general solution of the nonlinear ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$\sigma_1(u) \equiv u_{xx} - 3uu_x + u^3 - \alpha u - \beta = 0.$$
(1.3)

³ Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Under the transformation $u = -\psi_x/\psi$, equation (1.2) is linearizable into

$$\psi_t - \psi_{xx} = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

while equation (1.3) is linearizable into

 ψ

$$\alpha_{xxx} - \alpha \psi_x + \beta \psi = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

with general solution

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i \exp(\lambda_i x) \qquad \lambda_i^3 - \alpha \lambda_i + \beta = 0 \quad A_i \text{ arbitrary.}$$
(1.6)

Therefore (1.3) represents the GCS for a two-kink solution. Now, equation (1.1) may be written as

$$u_t = K_1(u) + (\gamma - 1)\sigma_1(u) \tag{1.7}$$

and under the transformation $u = -\psi_x/\psi$ it can be associated with the linear equations

$$\psi_t - \psi_{xx} = 0 \qquad \psi_{xxx} - \alpha \psi_x + \beta \psi = 0 \qquad \gamma \neq 1.$$
(1.8)

Therefore

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \exp\left(\lambda_i x + \omega_i t + \delta_i\right) \qquad \omega_i = \lambda_i^2 \quad \delta_i \text{ arbitrary.}$$
(1.9)

Choosing $\beta = 0$, equation (1.1) possesses the particular solution

$$u = -\partial_x \text{Log}(1 + \exp \theta_+ + \exp \theta_-) \qquad \theta_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\alpha} x + \alpha t + \delta_{\pm}$$
(1.10)

which describes the coalescence of two kinks depending on the fixed parameter α . This expression is also a solution of (1.2), with α being in this case an arbitrary constant.

For $\gamma = 1/3$ equation (1.1) is related to the Fitzhugh–Nagumo–Kolmogorov–Petrovskii– Piskunov (KPP) equation [3–6] which arises in population dynamics, nerve pulse propagation in nerve fibres and wall motion in liquid crystal while the case $1/3 < \gamma < 1$ was first investigated by Satsuma [7–9] who derived the particular solution (1.10), transforming the evolution equation (1.1) into a bilinear form of the variable ψ .

Two other interesting examples are the nonintegrable equations

$$u_t = -2uu_{xx} + 2u_x^2 - 2u^2u_x - 2\alpha u_x \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$u_t = u_x^2 + 2u^2 u_x - 2\alpha u_x + u^4 + 2\alpha u^2 + 2\beta.$$
(1.12)

They share with the potential KdV equation

$$u_t = K_2(u) \equiv u_{xxx} + 6u_x^2 \tag{1.13}$$

the solution of the nonlinear ODE

$$\sigma_2(u) \equiv u u_{xx} - \frac{u_x^2}{2} + 2u^2 u_x + \frac{u^4}{2} + \alpha u^2 + \beta = 0$$
(1.14)

which represents the GCS for the two-soliton solution and is linearizable. Indeed, under the transformation $u = v_x/v$, equation (1.14) takes the bilinear form

$$v_x v_{xxx} - \frac{1}{2} v_{xx}^2 + \alpha v_x^2 + \beta v^2 = 0$$
(1.15)

which by differentiation gives the fourth-order linear equation

$$v_{xxxx} + 2\alpha v_{xx} + 2\beta v = 0. \tag{1.16}$$

Therefore, equation (1.14) possesses the general solution

$$u = \partial_x \text{Log}\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 A_i \exp(\lambda_i x)\right) \qquad \lambda_i^4 + 2\alpha \lambda_i^2 + 2\beta = 0 \quad A_i \text{ arbitrary (1.17)}$$

with $\lambda_1^2 A_1 A_2 = \lambda_3^2 A_3 A_4$. Equations (1.11) and (1.12) can be respectively written

$$u_t = K_2(u) - \frac{\partial_x \sigma_2(u)}{u} \tag{1.18}$$

$$u_t = K_2(u) + 2\sigma_2(u) - \frac{\partial_x \sigma_2(u)}{u}$$
(1.19)

and under the transformation $u = v_x/v$, they can be associated with the linear system

$$v_t + 2v_{xxx} + 6\alpha v_x = 0 \qquad v_{xxxx} + 2\alpha v_{xx} + 2\beta v = 0$$
(1.20)

taking account of the first integral (1.15). Therefore, choosing $\alpha = -(\lambda_+^2 + \lambda_-^2)$ and $2\beta = (\lambda_+^2 - \lambda_-^2)^2$,

$$v = \sum_{\pm} A_{\pm} \exp\left((\lambda_{+} \pm \lambda_{-})x - 4(\lambda_{+}^{3} \pm \lambda_{-}^{3})t\right) + \sum_{\pm} B_{\pm} \exp\left(-(\lambda_{+} \pm \lambda_{-})x + 4(\lambda_{+}^{3} \pm \lambda_{-}^{3})t\right)$$
(1.21)

$$A_{+}B_{+} = A_{-}B_{-}\left(\frac{\lambda_{+} - \lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+} + \lambda_{-}}\right)^{2}$$
(1.22)

and one obtains for both equations (1.11) and (1.12) the particular solution

$$u = \lambda_{+} + \lambda_{-} + \partial_{x} \text{Log}(1 + \exp \theta_{+} + \exp \theta_{-} + \kappa_{12} \exp(\theta_{+} + \theta_{-}))$$
(1.23)

$$\theta_{\pm} = -2\lambda_{\pm}x + 8\lambda_{\pm}^3 t + \delta_{\pm} \qquad \kappa_{12} = \left(\frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{\lambda_+ + \lambda_-}\right)^2. \tag{1.24}$$

This expression is also a solution of (1.13), with $\lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-$ being in this case some arbitrary constants.

The GCS (1.3) and (1.14) are particular cases of two linearizable nonlinear ODEs of the Gambier classification [10] usually referred to as G5 and G27. They may be obtained from the original definition of GCS which involves Fréchet differentiation, but can also be obtained using the Bäcklund transformation or the linearization of the related integrable partial differential equations.

In section 2 we use the latter technique to derive discrete GCS for the equations of the discrete Burgers hierarchy [11] in order to obtain the discrete analogue of the nonintegrable equation (1.1) which supports two-kink solutions. In section 3, we consider the so-called Lotka–Volterra equation having as the continuous limit the KdV equation and derive from its Bäcklund transformation the discrete equivalent of the GCS (1.14). This latter linearizable difference equation is used for building discrete equivalents of the nonintegrable equations (1.11) and (1.12) which both support a solution describing the elastic collision of two solitary waves with fixed speeds.

This paper is an updated version of [12].

2. Discrete Satsuma equation

The discretization of the Burgers hierarchy has been given by Levi *et al* [11] and may be written in the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}U(n) = \overline{K}(U) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_j [U(n+j) - U(n)] \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} U(n+l) \qquad M = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(2.1)

They may be linearized by the substitution,

$$U(n) = \phi(n+1)\phi(n)^{-1}$$
(2.2)

to give

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\phi(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_j \phi(n+j).$$
(2.3)

The N-kink solution corresponds to

$$\phi(n) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} A_l(\lambda_l)^n \exp\left[\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j(\lambda_l)^j T\right]$$
(2.4)

where $\{A_l\}$, $\{\lambda_l\}$ are sets of arbitrary real constants. For simplification, the *T*-dependence of *U* and ϕ is taken to be understood. It is straightforward to derive the nonlinear difference equation satisfied by the *N*-kink solution (corresponding to the GCS of Fokas and Liu [2] in the continuum case). Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{N+1}$ be the roots of the equation

$$\lambda^{N+1} + c_1 \lambda^N + c_2 \lambda^{N-1} + \dots + c_N \lambda + c_{N+1} = 0$$
(2.5)

for any given set $\{c_i\}$ of coefficients. Then

$$\phi(n+N+1) + c_1\phi(n+N) + \dots + c_N\phi(n+1) + c_{N+1}\phi(n) = 0.$$
(2.6)

Dividing by $\phi(n)$,

$$\overline{\sigma}(U) \equiv \prod_{l=0}^{N} U(n+l) + c_1 \prod_{l=0}^{N-1} U(n+l) + \dots + c_N U(n) + c_{N+1} = 0.$$
(2.7)

This is the nonlinear difference equation of order N satisfied by the N-kink solution of (2.1), given by (2.2) and (2.4). In analogy to the continuum case, this solution also satisfies the whole family of evolution equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}U(n) = \overline{K}(U) + G(U,\overline{\sigma}) \tag{2.8}$$

where $G(U, \overline{\sigma})$ is any function of U(n) and $\overline{\sigma}(U)$ such that G(U, 0) = 0.

We now restrict ourselves to the case when M = 2, $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ so that (2.1) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dT}U(n) = \overline{K}_2(U) \equiv [U(n+2) - U(n)]U(n+1)U(n)$$
(2.9)

which is a discrete form of the Burgers equation.

The two-kink solution of (2.9) is

$$U(n) = \frac{\phi(n+1)}{\phi(n)} \qquad \phi(n) = \sum_{l=1}^{3} A_{l}(\lambda_{l})^{n} \exp(\lambda_{l}^{2}T).$$
(2.10)

When the λ_l are the roots of

$$\lambda^3 + c_1 \lambda^2 + c_2 \lambda + c_3 = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

this solution satisfies the nonlinear difference equation

$$\overline{\sigma}_2(U) \equiv U(n)U(n+1)U(n+2) + c_1U(n)U(n+1) + c_2U(n) + c_3 = 0.$$
(2.12)

This equation represents a particular case of the discrete mapping obtained by Ramani *et al* [19] and Grammaticos *et al* [20] as a result of the discretization of two projective Riccati equations. Then, the equation

$$\frac{d}{dT}U(n) = [U(n+2) - U(n)]U(n+1)U(n) + G(U,\overline{\sigma}_2)$$
(2.13)

has the two-kink solution given by (2.10) when G(U, 0) = 0.

The discrete equations (2.9) and (2.12) have respectively as the continuous limit the Burgers equation (1.2) and the GCS (1.3). Indeed, making the replacement $n \rightarrow nh$, defining the change of variables

$$U(nh, T) = 1 - hu(x, t)$$
 $x = nh + 2hT$ $t = 2h^2T$ (2.14)

and letting $h \rightarrow 0$ in (2.9), with the product *nh* finite, the limit leads to

$$-2h^{3}(u_{t} - u_{xx} - 2uu_{x}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{4}) = 0.$$
(2.15)

On the other hand, setting in (2.12)

$$c_1 = -3$$
 $c_2 = 3 - \alpha h^2$ $c_3 = -1 + \alpha h^2 + \beta h^3$ (2.16)

the limit gives

$$\overline{\sigma}_2(U) \equiv -h^3[u_{xx} - 3uu_x + u^3 - \alpha u - \beta] + \mathcal{O}(h^4) = 0$$
(2.17)

where the expression between the brackets is identical to (1.3).

The discrete analogue of (1.1)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}U(n) = \overline{K}_2(U) + 2(\gamma - 1)\overline{\sigma}_2(U)$$
(2.18)

can explicitly be written, setting h = 1, as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}U(n) = [U(n+2) - U(n)]U(n+1)U(n) + 2(\gamma - 1)[U(n)U(n+1)U(n+2) -3U(n)U(n+1) + (3-\alpha)U(n) + (\alpha + \beta - 1)].$$
(2.19)

Under the transformation $U(n) = \phi(n+1)/\phi(n)$, the associated linear system is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\phi(n) = \phi(n+2) - \phi(n) \qquad \phi(n+3) + c_1\phi(n+2) + c_2\phi(n+1) + c_3\phi(n) = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_3 are given by (2.16). Therefore, choosing $\beta = 0$, a particular solution of (2.19) is

$$\frac{1 - U(nh)}{h} = -\sqrt{\alpha} \frac{\exp\theta(n, T, \sqrt{\alpha}) - \exp\theta(n, T, -\sqrt{\alpha})}{1 + \exp\theta(n, T, \sqrt{\alpha}) + \exp\theta(n, T, -\sqrt{\alpha})}$$
(2.21)

$$\theta(n, T, \sqrt{\alpha}) = n \operatorname{Log}(1 + \sqrt{\alpha}h) + 2\sqrt{\alpha}hT + \alpha h^2T.$$
(2.22)

Taking account of the relations in (2.14) the continuous limit for θ is

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \theta(n, T, \sqrt{\alpha}) = \sqrt{\alpha} (x + \sqrt{\alpha} t)$$
(2.23)

and the expression (2.21) tends to (1.10).

3. Discrete conditional symmetry for two solitons

Let us consider two discrete forms of the KdV equation. The first one is the so-called Lotka– Volterra equation, arising in prey–predator processes and in plasma physics [13, 14],

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}[\log N_n] = N_{n-1} - N_{n+1} \qquad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$
(3.1)

which may be written in potential form,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}[\log X_n] = 1 - \frac{X_{n+1}}{X_{n-1}} \qquad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$
(3.2)

by taking

$$N_n(T) = \frac{X_{n+1}(T)}{X_{n-1}(T)}$$
(3.3)

and choosing the integration constant equal to 1. The integrability of (3.1) has been proven by Kac and van Moerbeke [16] and Manakov [17]. The second discrete equation was introduced by Hirota and Satsuma [15]

$$\frac{d}{dT}\left(\frac{w_n}{1+w_n}\right) = w_{n-1/2} - w_{n+1/2}$$
(3.4)

which may in turn be written in the potential form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}[\log Y_n] = \frac{Y_{n-1/2}}{Y_{n+1/2}} - 1 \qquad n = 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \dots$$
(3.5)

by taking

$$w_n = \frac{Y_{n-1/2}}{Y_n} - 1 \tag{3.6}$$

and choosing the integration constant equal to -1. Equation (3.5) reduces to (3.2) by the transformation

$$n \to 2n \qquad Y_{2n} = X_n^{-1} \tag{3.7}$$

and related transformation [18]

$$N_n = (1 + w_{2n})(1 + w_{2n+1}). (3.8)$$

Due to this equivalence, we only use equation (3.2) for deriving the discrete GCS. Making the transformation

$$X_n = f_{n+1/2} / f_{n-1/2} \tag{3.9}$$

equation (3.2) can be written in the bilinear form [21, 22]

$$[D_T \sinh(\frac{1}{2}D_n) + 2\sinh(D_n)\sinh(\frac{1}{2}D_n)]f_n \cdot f_n = 0$$
(3.10)

with the definitions

$$D_T a \cdot b = a_T b - a b_T$$
 $\exp(\epsilon D_n) a_n \cdot b_n = a(n+\epsilon) b(n-\epsilon)$ (3.11)

and the corresponding Bäcklund transformation is

$$\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D_n)f_n \cdot f_n = [\lambda \exp(\frac{3}{2}D_n) + \mu \exp(\frac{1}{2}D_n)]f_n \cdot f_n$$
(3.12)

$$[D_T - \lambda \exp(2D_n) - \gamma] f_n \cdot \tilde{f}_n = 0$$
(3.13)

where f_n and \tilde{f}_n are two distinct solutions of (3.10).

Setting in the Bäcklund transformation $\lambda = \lambda_1$, $\mu = 1 - \lambda_1$, $\gamma = -\lambda_1$ and $f_n = 1$, the two linear equations

$$\tilde{f}_{n+1/2} = \lambda_1 \tilde{f}_{n-3/2} + (1 - \lambda_1) \tilde{f}_{n-1/2}$$
(3.14)

$$f_{n,T} + \lambda_1 f_{n-2} - \lambda_1 f_n = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

define the one-soliton solution which corresponds to the form

$$f_n = 1 + \exp\left(2(\omega_1 T - p_1 n) + \eta_1\right)$$
(3.16)

with $\lambda_1 = -\exp(-2p_1)$, $\omega_1 = \sinh 2p_1$ and p_1 , η_1 arbitrary constants. The corresponding solution of (3.2) defined as $\tilde{X}_n = \tilde{f}_{n+1/2}/\tilde{f}_{n-1/2}$ satisfies the discrete Riccati equation

$$\overline{\sigma}_1(n) \equiv \tilde{X}_{n-1}\tilde{X}_n - \lambda_1 - (1-\lambda_1)\tilde{X}_{n-1} = 0.$$
(3.17)

10406

Interchanging the f_n and \tilde{f}_n in (3.12) and setting $\mu = 1 - \lambda_2$, $\gamma = -\lambda_2$, we have

$$\tilde{f}_{n-1/2}f_{n+1/2} = \lambda_2 \tilde{f}_{n+3/2}f_{n-3/2} + (1-\lambda_2)\tilde{f}_{n+1/2}f_{n-1/2}$$
(3.18)

where f_n now corresponds to the *two-soliton* solution.

Dividing through by $\tilde{f}_{n+1/2} f_{n-1/2}$, it becomes

$$\frac{X_n}{\tilde{X}_n} = \lambda_2 \frac{X_{n+1}}{X_{n-1}} + (1 - \lambda_2).$$
(3.19)

Eliminating \tilde{X}_n between (3.17) for $\overline{\sigma}_1(n+1)$ and (3.19) gives

$$\tilde{X}_{n+1} = \frac{X_{n-1}[\lambda_1(1-\lambda_2) + (1-\lambda_1)X_n]}{[X_n X_{n-1} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2]}.$$
(3.20)

Substituting back in (3.17) we obtain the following difference equation

$$\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n) \equiv X_{n} \left(\lambda_{1}(1-\lambda_{2})+(1-\lambda_{1})X_{n+1}\right) \left(\lambda_{2}(1-\lambda_{1})+(1-\lambda_{2})X_{n+1}\right) -(X_{n+1}X_{n}-\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2})(X_{n+2}X_{n+1}-\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}) = 0$$
(3.21)

which corresponds to the discrete GCS for the two-soliton solution.

Now making the transformation (3.9) in (3.21), this equation becomes

$$E_n \equiv (\lambda_1(1-\lambda_2)f_{n+1/2} + (1-\lambda_1)f_{n+3/2})(\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1)f_{n+1/2} + (1-\lambda_2)f_{n+3/2}) -(f_{n+3/2} - \lambda_1\lambda_2f_{n-1/2})(f_{n+5/2} - \lambda_1\lambda_2f_{n+1/2}) = 0.$$
(3.22)

Therefore, the ratio

$$\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 E_{n-1} - E_n}{f_{n+3/2} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 f_{n-1/2}} \equiv f_{n+5/2} - (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2) f_{n+3/2} -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{(1 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_2} + \frac{(1 - \lambda_1)^2}{\lambda_1} + 2 \right) f_{n+1/2} -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2) f_{n-1/2} + \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 f_{n-3/2} = 0$$
(3.23)

is a linear difference equation of fourth order which corresponds to the linearization (1.16) in the continuum case. If one substitutes

$$x = nh \qquad \lambda_i = -1 + 2h\lambda_i \qquad X_n = 1 + h(u(x) - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \qquad (3.24)$$

in (3.21), it gives

$$-2h^{4}\left[uu_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_{x}^{2} + 2u^{2}u_{x} - (\bar{\lambda_{1}}^{2} + \bar{\lambda_{2}}^{2})u^{2} + \frac{u^{4}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\lambda_{1}}^{2} - \bar{\lambda_{2}}^{2})^{2}\right] + \mathcal{O}(h^{5}) = 0.$$
(3.25)

Dividing through by $-2h^4$ and letting $h \to 0$, this expression corresponds to the GCS (1.14) with $\alpha = -(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 - \bar{\lambda}_2^2)^2$.

We now build two lattice equations which share with the integrable differential-difference equation (3.1) the two-soliton solution satisfying the GCS (3.21) and which possess as continuous limit respectively the nonintegrable partial differential equations (1.11) and (1.12). First, we consider the continuous limit of equation (3.2). Taking

 $X_n(T) \equiv X(nh, T) = 1 + h(u(x, t) + c) \qquad x = nh - 2hT \quad t = -h^3T/3$ (3.26)

with c arbitrary, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{3}h^4(u_t - u_{xxx} - 6u_x^2) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) = 0$$
(3.27)

so that dividing through by $-h^4/3$ and letting $h \rightarrow 0$, we recover the potential KdV equation (1.13).

Then, we consider the lattice equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}X_{n} = X_{n} - \frac{X_{n+1}X_{n}}{X_{n-1}} - \frac{\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n-1)}{(X_{n}X_{n-1} - \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2})X_{n-1}}$$

$$\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} \qquad (\lambda_{1}(1-\lambda_{2}) + (1-\lambda_{1})X_{n})(\lambda_{2}(1-\lambda_{1}) + (1-\lambda_{2})X_{n})$$
(3.28)

$$\equiv X_n - \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{X_{n-1}} - \frac{(\lambda_1 (1 - \lambda_2) + (1 - \lambda_1) X_n) (\lambda_2 (1 - \lambda_1) + (1 - \lambda_2) X_n)}{(X_n X_{n-1} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2)}$$
(3.29)

which is a discrete equation of first order possessing the two-soliton solution of (3.2). To get its continuous limit, instead of (3.28) we consider

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}X_n = X_n - \frac{X_{n+1}X_n}{X_{n-1}} - \frac{\frac{1}{3}(\overline{\sigma}_2(n) - \overline{\sigma}_2(n-1)) - \frac{h^2}{3}\overline{\sigma}_2(n) + \frac{4h^2}{3}\overline{\sigma}_2(n-1)}{(X_n X_{n-1} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2)X_{n-1}}$$
(3.30)

which is identical to (3.28) for h = 1. Then, using the relations (3.26) with

$$c = -(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2) \qquad \lambda_i = -1 + 2h\bar{\lambda}_i \tag{3.31}$$

we have that

$$\frac{\overline{\sigma}_2(n) - \overline{\sigma}_2(n-1)}{(X_n X_{n-1} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) X_{n-1}} = -h^4 \{ u_{xxx} + 4u_x^2 + 2u_{xx}u - 2u_x(\bar{\lambda}_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2) + 2u^2 u_x \} + \mathcal{O}(h^5)$$
(3.32)

and the lattice equation (3.30) becomes

$$h^{4}\left\{u_{t}+2u_{xx}u-2u_{x}^{2}+2u^{2}u_{x}-2(\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{2})u_{x}\right\}+\mathcal{O}(h^{5})=0.$$
(3.33)

Dividing through by h^4 and letting $h \to 0$ we recover equation (1.11). n

$$G(X,\overline{\sigma}_{2}) = \frac{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n-1) - \overline{\sigma}_{2}(n)X_{n}X_{n-1}}{(X_{n+1}X_{n} - \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2})X_{n-1}} + 2\frac{\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n-1)}{X_{n-1}}$$

$$\equiv \frac{(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - X_{n}X_{n-1})\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n-1)}{(X_{n}X_{n+1} - \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2})X_{n-1}} - \frac{(\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n) - \overline{\sigma}_{2}(n-1))X_{n}}{X_{n}X_{n+1} - \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} + 2\frac{\overline{\sigma}_{2}(n-1)}{X_{n-1}}$$
(3.34)
(3.35)

which in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$G(X,\overline{\sigma}_2) \equiv -h^4(2\sigma_2(u) - \partial_x\sigma_2(u)/u) + \mathcal{O}(h^5)$$
(3.36)

where $\sigma_2(u)$ is the GCS (1.14). Therefore, the lattice equation

$$\frac{d}{dT}X_n = X_n - \frac{X_{n+1}X_n}{X_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{3}G(X,\overline{\sigma}_2)$$
(3.37)

becomes

$$h^{4}\left\{u_{t}-u_{x}^{2}-2u^{2}u_{x}-2(\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{2})u_{x}-u^{4}+2(\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{2})u^{2}-(\bar{\lambda}_{1}^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{2})^{2}\right\}+\mathcal{O}(h^{5})=0$$
(3.38)

and dividing through by h^4 , letting $h \to 0$, it corresponds to (1.12).

With transformation (3.9) and definition (3.22) for E_n one gets the identification

$$\overline{\sigma}_2(n) \equiv \frac{E_n}{f_{n+1/2} f_{n-1/2}} \tag{3.39}$$

$$G(X,\overline{\sigma}_2) \equiv \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 E_{n-1} - E_n}{f_{n-1/2}(f_{n+3/2} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 f_{n-1/2})} + 2 \frac{E_{n-1}}{f_{n-1/2}^2}$$
(3.40)

so that the lattice equation (3.37) can be written in the bilinear form

$$3\left(f_{n-1/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}f_{n+1/2} - f_{n+1/2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}f_{n-1/2}\right) = (2\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 3)f_{n+3/2}f_{n-3/2} +2(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)f_{n+1/2}^2 - 2f_{n+3/2}f_{n+1/2} + f_{n+5/2}f_{n-1/2} -(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)f_{n+3/2}f_{n-1/2} + \left(3+\lambda_1(1-\lambda_2)^2 + \lambda_2(1-\lambda_1)^2\right) \times f_{n+1/2}f_{n-1/2} - \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2f_{n-3/2}f_{n-1/2} + \lambda_1\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)f_{n-1/2}^2.$$
(3.41)

This equation possesses the two-soliton discrete solution $f_n \equiv F^{(2)}(nh, T)$, with

$$F^{(2)}(nh, T) = 1 + \exp \Theta_1 + \exp \Theta_2 + \kappa_{12}(h) \exp (\Theta_1 + \Theta_2)$$
(3.42)

$$\Theta_i(n,T) = -2p_i nh + 2\sinh(2hp_i)T$$
(3.43)

$$\kappa_{12}(h) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}\right)^2 \qquad \lambda_i = -\exp(-2hp_i) \qquad i = 1, 2.$$
(3.44)

In the continuous limit $h \rightarrow 0$, taking account of the change of variables (3.26), one recovers the two-soliton of the KdV equation

$$\lim_{h \to 0} F^{(2)}(nh, T) = 1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2) + \kappa_{12}(h) \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$$
(3.45)

$$\theta_i(x,t) = -2p_i x - 8p_i^3 t \qquad \kappa_{12} \equiv \lim_{h \to 0} \kappa_{12}(h) = \left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right)^2.$$
(3.46)

4. Conclusion

We have derived in this paper discrete equivalents of nonintegrable evolution equations which possess exact two-kink and two-soliton solutions. In the continuous case, although those equations take a bilinear form after a transformation on the dependent variable, they cannot be written only with Hirota differential operators. This feature is also valid for their discrete counterparts as is clear from (3.41) whose right-hand side cannot simply be written in terms of discrete Hirota operators.

It might be interesting to scrutinize the lattice equations to obtain other exact solutions and to extend this study to more than one-space dimension, both in continuous and discrete cases.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor Clarkson for useful discussions. Thanks are also due to the British Council and the National Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek for financial support for exchange visits during which much of this work was carried out.

MM acknowledges Professor J Satsuma and Professor T Tokihiro for their invitation to participate in the SIDE IV meeting. She is also grateful to the organizing committee for their financial support.

References

- Fokas A S and Liu QM 1994 Generalized conditional symmetries and exact solutions of non-integrable equations Math. Theor. Phys. 99 263–77
- Fokas A S and Liu Q M 1994 Nonlinear interaction of traveling waves of non-integrable equations *Phys. Rev.* Lett. 72 3293–6

2

- [3] Fitzhugh R 1961 Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane *Biophys. J.* 1 445–66
- [4] Kolmogorov A N, Petrovskii I G and Piskunov N S 1937 The study of a diffusion equation, related to the increase of the quantity of matter, and its application to one biological problem *Bulletin de l'Université* d'État de Moscou, Série Internationale, section A Math. Méc. 1 1–26
- [5] Nagumo J S, Arimoto S and Yoshizawa S 1962 An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon Proc. IRE 50 2061–70
- [6] Newell A C and Whitehead J A 1969 Finite bandwidth, finite amplitude convection J. Fluid Mech. 38 279–303
- [7] Satsuma J 1987 Topics in Soliton Theory and Exact Solvable Nonlinear Equations ed M Ablowitz, B Fuchssteiner and M Kruskal (Singapore: World Scientific) pp 255–62
- [8] Wang X Y, Zhu Z S and Lu Y K 1990 Solitary wave solutions of the generalised Burgers–Huxley equation J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 271–4
- [9] Estévez P G and Gordoa P R 1990 Painlevé analysis of the generalized Burgers–Huxley equation J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 4831–7
- [10] Gambier B 1910 Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre et du premier degré dont l'intégrale générale est à points critiques fixes Acta Math. 33 1–55
- [11] Levi D, Ragnisco A and Bruschi M 1983 Continuous and discrete matrix Burgers hierarchy Nuovo Cimento B 74 33–51
- [12] Common A K and Musette M 2001 Non-integrable lattice equations supporting kink and soliton solutions Eur. J. Appl. Math. at press
- [13] Zakharov V E, Musher S L and Rubenchik A M 1974 Nonlinear stage of parametric wave excitation in a plasma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. Pis. Red. 19 249–53 (Engl. transl. 1974 JETP Lett. 19 151–2)
- [14] Zakharov V E, Musher S L and Rubenchik A M 1975 Weak Langmuir turbulence of an isothermal plasma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 69 155–68 (Engl. transl. 1976 Sov. Phys.–JETP 42 80–6)
- [15] Hirota R and Satsuma J 1976 A variety nonlinear network equations generated from the Bäcklund transformation for the Toda lattice *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **59** 64–100
- [16] Kac M and van Moerbeke P 1975 On an explicitly soluble system of nonlinear differential equations related to certain Toda lattices Adv. Math. 16 160–9
- [17] Manakov S V 1974 Complete integrability and stochastization of discrete dynamical systems *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 67 543–55 (Engl. transl. 1975 *Sov. Phys.–JETP* 40 269–74)
- [18] Volkov A Yu Private communication
- [19] Ramani A, Grammaticos B and Karra G 1992 Linearizable mappings Physica A 180 115-27
- [20] Grammaticos B, Ramani A and Winternitz P 1998 Discretizing families of linearizable equations Phys. Lett. A 245 382–8
- [21] Hu Xing-Biao and Clarkson P A 1995 Rational solutions of a differential-difference KdV equation, the Toda equation and the discrete KdV equation J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 5009–16
- [22] Hu Xing-Biao and Bullough R K 1997 Bäcklund transformation and nonlinear superposition formula of an extended Lotka–Volterra equation J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 3635–41